



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,989	06/26/2003	Michael A. Gavlak	65899-0685	5441
22851 7	590 02/21/2006		EXAMINER	
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			SETLAK, ANDREW T	
M/C 480-410-2	202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 5052			AKI ONII	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 48007			2166	

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4/3				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.65	10/606,989	GAVLAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Setlak	2166				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SR/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/2004.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2166

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement, filed on 1/14/2004 has been received, entered into the record, and considered. See attached PTO-1449 forms.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Dependant claim 18 appears to be directed towards a component which is directed towards software *per se*, lacking any enabling hardware.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 & 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,777,877 (henceforth referred to as Beppu et al.).

Art Unit: 2166

Claim 1 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as follows: A system for managing a change to an item associated with a complex system of inter-related items comprising: a database comprising a plurality of records, wherein each record includes information concerning an item associated with the complex system, said information including an identification of other items that could be affected by a change to the changed item (Abstract, C13:L46-59, C2:L52-C3:L3); and a computer-user interface displaying a user-updateable list of affected items and a user-updateable list of non-affected items (C7:L3-11, figure 6 shows an edit menu which indicates that users can modify the parts in the hierarchy).

Claim 2 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 1, wherein said computer-user interface further includes a user-updateable list of items that require additional analysis before said items can be assigned to either said affected items list or said non-affected items list (figure 13 shows the UI element used to further classify objects).

Claim 3 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 2, further including one or more predetermined rules used by the system to automatically assign one or more of said items that could be affected to one of (i) said list of affected items, (ii) said list of items that require additional analysis, and (iii) said list of non-affected items (C8:L64-C9:L16, figure 12).

Claim 4 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 1, wherein said items include parts used in an assembled end product and documents associated with said assembled end product (figure 14 shows that the system contains drawing documents

Art Unit: 2166

associated with each component and part in the system, and the records for the components of the instant part).

Claim 5 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 1, wherein said computer-user interface further includes a user-updateable input field for receiving an identifier of said item to be changed (C11:L13-16, figure 16 element S46); and a list of related items that could be affected by a change made to said item to be changed (C7:L3-11, figure 6).

Claim 6 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 5, wherein said list of related items is generated automatically in response to a query to said database based upon said identifier of said changed item in said input field (Abstract, C13:L46-59, C2:L52-C3:L3).

Claim 7 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 5, wherein said computeruser interface further includes one or more visual indicators associated with said
items on said related items list that indicate if said related items are assigned to
said list of affected items, said list of items that require additional analysis, and
said list of non-affected items (figure 6 indicates by the fill and border combinations
whether or not the part will need to undergo recomposition).

Claim 8 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 1, further including one or more predetermined rules used by the system to automatically assign one or more of said items that could be affected to one of said list of affected items, said list of items that require additional analysis, and said list of non-affected items (figure 13 shows the UI element used to further classify objects).

Art Unit: 2166

Claim 11 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as follows: A method of managing changes to items associated with a complex system of inter-related items comprising: searching a database for items related to a changed item (figure 17 shows the hierarchal schema used to store the parts and their relationships); assigning each of said related items to (i) an affected items list, (ii) a non-affected items list, and (iii) an analysis required list, depending upon whether each of the related items (i) is affected by a change to said changed item, (ii) is not affected by a change to said changed item, and (iii) requires additional analysis to determine if the related item is affected or not affected (C8:L64-C9:L16, figure 12); and wherein said affected items list, said non-affected items list, and said analysis required list, are incorporated into a computer-user interface (C7:L3-11, figure 6 shows an edit menu which indicates that users can modify the parts in the hierarchy, figure 13 shows the UI element used to further classify objects).

Claim 12 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 11, wherein a human user manually assigns at least some of said related items to said affected items list, said non-affected items list, and said analysis required list (figure 13 shows the UI element used to further classify objects, figure 18 elements S65 & S56); and wherein at least some of said related items are automatically assigned to said affected items list, said non-affected items list, and said analysis required list by preestablished rules applied by computer software (C8:L64-C9:L16, figure 12).

Claim 13 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 11, further including the steps: generating a list of related items on said computer-user interface in

Art Unit: 2166

response to said database search (figure 6); and providing a visual indication associated with each of said related items that indicates if said related item has been assigned to said affected list, said non-affected list, and said analysis required list (figure 6 indicates by the fill and border combinations whether or not the part will need to undergo recomposition).

Claim 14 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 11, further including the steps: analyzing items assigned to said analysis required list to determine if said analysis required items would be affected by a change to said changed item (figure 1 elements 4 & 10 (automatic) element 11 (manual)); and assigning said analysis required items to said affected items list and said non-affected items list, depending upon whether or not said analysis required items would be affected by a change to said changed item (figure 5 element S21).

Claim 15 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 14, wherein said step of assigning said analysis required items is performed manually by a human user (C4:L16-21, figure 1 element 11).

Claim 16 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 14, wherein one or more of said searching, said analyzing, and said assigning steps are repeated until no items remain on said analysis required list (see the feedback paths of figure 4).

Claim 17 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as follows: A system for managing a change to an item associated with an assembled end product, comprising: a database comprising a plurality of records, wherein each record includes information concerning an item associated with the assembled end product, said

Art Unit: 2166

change in said associated item (Abstract, C13:L46-59, C2:L52-C3:L3); a computer-user interface configured to display a user-updateable list of affected items, a user-updateable list of non-affected items, and a user-updateable list of items requiring additional analysis (C7:L3-11, figure 6 shows an edit menu which indicates that users can modify the parts in the hierarchy, figure 13 shows the UI element used to further classify objects); wherein said computer-user interface further includes a list of related items that could be affected by a change to the changed item, said related items list being automatically generated in response to a query of said database (C7:L3-11, figure 6, Abstract, C13:L46-59, C2:L52-C3:L3); and wherein said computer-user interface is configured to permit a human user to manually assign items on said related items to said affected items list, said non-affected items list, and said analysis required list (figure 13 shows the UI element used to further classify objects, figure 18 elements S65 & S56).

Claim 18 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 17, further comprising software that automatically assigns at least some of said related items to said affected items list, said non-affected items list, and said analysis required list based upon pre-determined rules (C8:L64-C9:L16, figure 12).

Claim 19 is anticipated by Beppu et al. as in claim 17, further comprising a means for providing a visual indication associated with said related items list on said user-computer interface that indicates whether said items on said related items list have been assigned to said affected items list, said non-affected items

Art Unit: 2166

list, or said analysis required list (figure 6 indicates by the fill and border combinations whether or not the part will need to undergo recomposition).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10, 20 & 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beppu et al..

Claims 9, 10, 20 & 21 are taught by Beppu et al. as in claims 1-8 & 11-19.

However, Beppu et al. fails to explicitly indicate an undo function which can operate to undo all changes which were iterated by the system as a result of the last user input.

Yet, a function which is used to undo a user's last action and all consequences of said user action would have been notoriously obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention, the undo has been a standard feature in mainstream computer application methods since well before the filing date of the instant application.

Thus it would have been notoriously obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have included the standard UI element of an undo function.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,989 Page 9

Art Unit: 2166

#### Conclusion

The prior art made record of on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Setlak whose telephone number is (571) 272-4060. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

02/10/2006

Hosain Alam

Supervisory Patent Examiner